

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.716 OF 2005

Dr.(Mr.)Nitin Balkrishna
Bapat ..Petitioner

Vs.

Mr.Shrikant Bhalchandra
Udas & Anr. ..Respondents

...
Mr.N.S.Mundergi Advocate for Petitioner
Mr.M.S.Mhambray, A.P.P. for the State
...

CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATE : AUGUST 5, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the Petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State. None appears for the respondent no.-original complainant though served.

2. The petitioner is seeking quashing of process issued by the learned Judicial Magistrate F.C.A.C.Court Pune in C.C.No.11938 of 2004. In the

said case process is issued under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

3. However, for the reasons recorded in my order dated 13th June, 2005 in W.P.No. 213 of 2005 and dated 21st June, 2005 in Cri.Application No.550 of 2005, I am of the opinion that the petitioner has an efficacious remedy of preferring revision against the order of Magistrate issuing process. Hence, in my view, it would be appropriate that the petitioner prefers a revision before the concerned Sessions Court against the order of the Magistrate issuing process.

4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to prefer the necessary revision before the concerned Sessions Court. The learned advocate for the petitioner makes a statement that the necessary revision will be preferred within four weeks from today. In view of this statement, the trial Court shall not proceed with the trial for a period of four weeks.

5. On the necessary revision being preferred by

the petitioner before the concerned Sessions Court, the concerned Sessions Court shall dispose of the matter on merits after hearing the necessary parties.

6. Writ Petition is disposed of.

[V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.]